

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/069,112	06/19/2002	Masayuki Hatanaka	020231	3705
38834	7590 06/02/2006	EXAMINER		
	AN, HATTORI, DANIEI	PARTHASARAT	'HY, PRAMILA	
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			2136	
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/069,1	10/069,112		HATANAKA ET AL.		
		Examiner	,	Art Unit			
		l l	arthasarathy	2136			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF TH 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever cation. bry period will apply and will by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICA ent, however, may a repl ill expire SIX (6) MONTH lication to become ABAN	ATION.  By be timely filed  Sometimes filed from the mailing date of this condition (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status							
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 March 2006.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□	Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) 46 is/are withe Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-45 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	n and/or election re xaminer. accepted or b) n to the drawing(s) b	equirement.  ☐ objected to by be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	<b>FR 1 121/d</b> )		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2)  Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date			Mail Date. <u>5/28/2006</u> omal Patent Application (PT	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/069,112 Page 2

Art Unit: 2136

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to the communication filed on March 16, 2006. Claims 1–46 were previously presented. No new claims were added. Claim 46 was cancelled.
 Claims 1 – 45 were amended. In view of Examiner initiated interview (see Examiner initiated interview, May 23, 2006), Claims 1 – 45 are currently pending.

# Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed on March 16, 2006 have been fully considered.
- 3. Applicant's arguments, with respect to 35 USC 103 rejection have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 USC 103 of Claims 1 45 has been withdrawn.
- 4. With respect to Double patenting rejection with copending application, Examiner corrects the typographical mistake while identifying the copending application and hereby maintains the rejection with copending application 10/129950.

Art Unit: 2136

# **Double Patenting**

Page 3

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1 – 45 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over amended claims 30 - 53 of copending Application No. 10/129,950. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 2136

A partial correspondence between the instant claims and the copending claims are as follows:

10/069,112	10/129,950		
1	30 – 37		
2	38		
3	39		
4	37		
5, 6	40 – 41		

10/069112	10/129,950		
a license key for decrypting encrypted content data	a first key unique todecryption information data from outside		
a first interface unit for externally transmitting data;	an interface for transmitting data		
a first session key generating unit for producing a first symmetric key to be updated in response to every transmission of said license key;	a first session key generating portion for producing a first symmetric key to be updated upon every distribution of said decryption information data;		
a session key encryption processing unit	a session key encryption processing portion		
a session key decrypting unit for decrypting	a session key decryption processing portion		
a first license data encryption processing unit	first encrypting and communicating means		
a second license data encrypting for further	a send/receive portion for externally		
encrypting the output of said first license data	transmitting the data over said information		
encryption processing unit and a second interface	transmission network; and second encrypting		

Art Unit: 2136

unit for externally transmitting the data

a data storing unit for receiving and storing at least said license key form content supply device;

a first public encryption key is predetermined for said data storing unit and said data storing unit includes a first key holding portion for holding a first public encryption key for externally output

a first decryption processing unit for receiving and decrypting said first symmetric key encrypted with said first public encryption key

a second key holding unit for holding said second public key encryption key

a second session key generating unit for producing said second symmetric key

a first encryption processing unit for encrypting said public encryption key and said second symmetric key based on said first symmetric key, and outputting the encrypted key to said second interface unit

a second decryption processing unit for receiving said license key encrypted with said second

and communication means for receiving saic first key ...and sending the encrypted decryption information data.

first storing means for storing said encrypted content data and said plain-text additional information data

a first key holding portion for holding said fire public encryption key for externally output,

a first decryption processing portion for receiving and decrypting said first symmetric key encrypted with said first public encryptio key

a second key holding portion for holding saic second public encryption key

a second session key generating portion for producing said second symmetric key

a first encryption processing portion for encrypting said second public encryption key and said second symmetric key and applied from said second decryption information data encryption processing portion

a second decryption processing portion for receiving said decryption information data

Art Unit: 2136

symmetric key further encrypted with said second public encryption key and applied from said second license data encryption processing unit, and decrypting the received license key based on said second symmetric key

a third key holding unit for holding a second private decryption key used for decrypting the data encrypted with said second public encryption key and being unique to said data storing unit

a third decryption processing unit for receiving said license key encrypted with said second public encryption key, and decrypting the received license key with said second private decryption key

a memory unit for storing and encrypted content data and said license key encrypted with said encrypted second public encryption key, further encrypted with second symmetric key and applied from saic decryption information data encryption processing portion

a fourth key holding portion for holding the second private decryption key for decrypting the data encrypted with said second public encryption key

a third decryption processing portion for decrypting said decryption information data encrypted with said second public encryption key with said second private decryption key

wherein said data storing portion is a memory card; said third decryption processing portion decrypts said encrypted decryption information data read from said storing means

Georgia-Pacific Corp. v. United States Gypsum Co., 195 F.3d 1322, 1326, 52 USPQ2d 1590, 1593 (Fed. Cir. 1999). Second, the court determines whether the differences in subject matter between the two claims render the claims patentably distinct. Id. at 1327, 52 USPQ2d at 1595. A later claim that is not patentably distinct from an earlier claim in a commonly owned patent is invalid for obvious-type double

patenting. <u>In re Berg</u>, 140 F.3d 1428, 1431, 46 USPQ2d 1226, 1229 (Fed. Cir. 1998). A later patent claim is not patentably distinct from an earlier patent claim if the later claim is obvious over, the earlier claim. <u>In re Longi</u>, 759 F.2d at 896, 225 USPQ at 651 (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting because the claims at issue were obvious over claims in four prior art patents); <u>In re Berg</u>, 140 F.3d at 1437, 46 USPQ2d at 1233 (Fed. Cir. 1998) (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting where a patent application claim to a genus is anticipated by a patent claim to a species within that genus). " <u>ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v BARR LABORATORIES</u>, INC., United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, ON PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC (DECIDED: May 30, 2001).

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See In re Schneller, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP 804.

#### Conclusion

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1 – 45 are allowable over prior art.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Art Unit: 2136

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 8

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pramila Parthasarathy whose telephone number is 571-272-3866. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00a.m. To 5:00p.m.. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-232-3795. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Art Unit: 2136

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pramila Parthasarathy

May 28, 2006.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 9

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100